

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,534	MAUMUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici

(2) Mr. Charles Gagnebin III

Date of Interview: 11 August 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that newly added claim 35 is drawn to the prior art, but would be allowable if the limitations of dependent claim 36 are included. Mr. Charles Gagnebin III agreed to include the limitations of claim 36 into independent claim 35 and to cancel claim 36 (see Examiner's Amendment).